

### Challenges for testing of leachables migrated from a bag system into parenteral solutions

**PIETER VAN WOUWE** 



### High daily dosed drug products require a different E/L approach





### Key tasks to approach LVP leachable studies



Nelson Labs. A Sotera Health company

### Strong interaction between analytics and toxicology is crucial in successful large volume parenteral leachable studies







#### **Outline**

#### Transition from extractable to leachable study

#### Design of the leachable study

#### Further steps based on leachable data





"Critical" target compounds should be selected from extractable data, and should be monitored in the leachable study





### Safety concern threshold for parenteral drug products (PDP, PQRI)

Specific to route of administration

Threshold below which a leachable would have a dose so low as to present negligible safety concerns from mutagenic and non mutagenic toxic effects

Tox endpoint	General tox.	Sensitizer & irritant	Carcinogen
Class	Class I	Class II	Class III
Threshold level (µg/day)	5	5	1.5 (PDP-SCT)



### The analytical evaluation threshold for screening methodology

 $1.5\ \mu\text{g/day}$  (SCT )

Final AET (µg/L) =

Max daily dose (L/day) X uncertainty factor





### Lower AETs in extractable study result in more target compounds for leachable study



Abundance

Time-->



### Lower AETs in extractable study result in more target compounds for leachable study

Abundance





### Lower AETs in extractable study result in more target compounds for leachable study

#### Abundance



Nelson Labs. A Sotera Health company

### High number of compounds above the (final) AET in the simulation study

µg/l COMPOUND 1 8 COMPOUND 2 8.2 **COMPOUND 3** 5.9 **COMPOUND 4** 5.6 !! 60 differential compounds > final AET !! **COMPOUND 5** 6.2 Abundance 600000-**COMPOUND 58** 6.2 **COMPOUND 59** 170 **COMPOUND 60** 110 400000 200000-SCT ~ Final AET (1.5 µg/day) ~ 3 µg/l 15.00 25.00 30.00 20.00 10.00 Time--> **Nelson Labs**. A Sotera Health company

### **Toxicological data should support further refining of target selection**





### **Permitted Daily Exposure values as valuable alternative for PQRI limits**

#### Permitted Daily Exposure (PDE):

-compound specific

-proposed by toxicologist based on data

-typically orders of magnitude higher than PQRI threshold

PQRI thresholds: -generic thresholds -conservative

Tox endpoint	General tox.	Sensitizer & irritant	Carcinogen
Class	Class I	Class II	Class III
Threshold level (µg/day)	5	5	1.5 (PDP-SCT)



#### **Evaluation of extractable data against compound specific final AET (PDE based)**

	PDE (µg/day)	Final "cmp" specifi AET (µg/l)	C	Result (µg/l)
COMPOUND 1	38800	11800	>	8
COMPOUND 2	600	182	>	8.2
COMPOUND 3	5000	1510	>	5.9
COMPOUND 4	3000	908	>	5.6
COMPOUND 5	50	15	>	6.2
COMPOUND 6	153	46	<	62
COMPOUND 17	5	1.5	<	3.2
COMPOUND 23	5	1.5	<	1.6
COMPOUND 26	5	1.5	<	3.2
COMPOUND 58	50	15	>	6.2
COMPOUND 59	100000	30300	>	170
COMPOUND 60	800	242	>	110
Melson Labs				

CONFIDENTIAL | © 2021 Nelson Laboratories, LLC | ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

A Sotera Health company



#### **Outline**

#### Transition from extractable to leachable study

#### Design of the leachable study

#### Further steps based on leachable data





### Leachable study consists of targeted methods and screening





Target compounds preferably addressed by validated methods

GC-QQQ, LC-QQQ,...

Further optimized in function of target compound(s)

Specificity, LOD, LOQ, accuracy, precision, calibration range (ICH Q2D)





### Calibration range and LOQ are based on toxicological data (PDE)







## Calibration range and LOQ are based on toxicological data (PDE)



### **Calibration range and LOQ are based on toxicological data (PDE)**



### Calibration range and LOQ are based on PQRI thresholds



### Leachable study should consist of targeted methods and screening





### Screening with Nelson Labs state-of-the-art methodology



-commercial libraries (NIST, Wiley)



### What if the final AET can not be reached





#### Adjusting both the ageing/leaching set-up and sample preparation might lead in reaching the (final) AET level





## The use of small scale versions of the commercial bag system allows screening at lower AETs

#### Commercial bag (for e.g. 1 L):

- low surface/volume
- low leachable concentration

#### Small scale bag (for e.g. 250 mL)

- high surface/volume
- high leachable concentration









# The aimed (final) AET will linearly increase with the surface to volume ratio of the bag (if concentrations < solubility limits)



### The aimed (final) AET will linearly increase with the surface to volume ratio of the bag: practical example



### Results obtained in small scale model should be backcalculated to commercial bag system



### Adjusting both the ageing/leaching set-up and sample preparation might lead in reaching the (final) AET level





Adjust sample preparation methodology: further concentration of the (leachables) in the drug product increases the sensitivity



concentrate 100 - 200 times (limited by DP interference)

=>Decrease LOD in DP sample





### "Clean" sample preparation of utmost importance in LVP studies





Concentration step also concentrates possible contaminations



Use of high purity solvents





### Simulation study might "fill the gaps" if final AET can not be reached in drug product



#### **Outline**

Transition from extractable to leachable study

Design of the leachable study

Further steps based on leachable data





### Screening leachable data will result in (non target) compounds above the final AET





Nelson Labs. A Sotera Health company

### Both additional analytical & toxicological work is required for compounds detected above (final) AET

#### Identification of unknowns: second pass testing

Typically present at low concentrations -Compounds which migrate at very low concentrations from plastics -Secondary leachables -Antioxidant degradation products

Permitted Daily Exposure values/Safety statements are required Determined by toxicologist Necessary for additional analytical work

Additional measurements with validated methods PDE values necessary





#### Conclusion

Transition from extractable to leachable study Toxicological data for target selection

Design of the leachable study Adjustments in design and sample prep

Further steps based on leachable data Identification work, PDEs and validation





### For More Educational Events & Resources Visit



Three Companies. One Focus. Safeguarding Global Health<sub>@</sub>



Expert Lab Testing & Advisory Services

Nelsonlabs.com/education

InfoEurope@nelsonlabs.com +32 16 40 04 84



Comprehensive Sterilization Solutions & Expert Advisory Services

Sterigenics.com/resources

+1 800-472-4508



**Reliable Global Supply Of Cobalt-60** 

Nordion.com/education

service@nordion.com + 1-800-465-3666

