# Applying the New ISO 10993



(Risk-based Approach to Biocompatibility)

Thor Rollins, B.S. RM(NRCM) Director of Toxicology and E&L Consulting 801-290-7832 | trollins@nelsonlabs.com

# Standards for **Presentation**





#### CHANGE

# The Years of Change in Biocompatibility







Use of International Standard ISO 10993-1, "Biological evaluation of medical devices - Part 1: Evaluation and testing within a risk management process"



International Organization for Standardization

Biological evaluation of medical devices — Part 1: Evaluation and testing within a risk management process



**EU Medical Device Regulation** 



### The MDR Countdown!





#### Then Everything Changed....





#### Now the Clock is Ticking

Are we going to be ready?

#### S MedTech Europe



Our industry is prepared to submit product files to comply with the new Medical Device Regulation (MDR). However, we cannot do so. The new regulatory system is not ready to function. The deadline for the system to be fully operational is not 26 May 2020, the date of MDR application as the Commission continues to suggest. The deadline for the system to be ready for our industry to comply is now.

Re: Open letter on the implementation and readiness status of the new Medical Device Regulation 745/2017 (MDR)

Dear Vice-President Katainen,

I am writing to you regarding an issue of absolute urgency for patient care across Europe and for the internal market at large. The medical device industry in Europe confirms that without immediate action by the European Commission, the new regulatory system will not be ready on time to ensure continued access of patients and healthcare systems to life-saving and life-transforming devices.

Our industry is prepared to submit product files to comply with the new Medical Device Regulation (MDR). However, we cannot do so. The new regulatory system is not ready to function. The deadline for the system to be fully operational is not 26 May 2020, the date of MDR application as the Commission continues to suggest. The deadline for the system to be ready for our industry to comply is now.

One of the critical concerns is the designation and capacity of Notified Bodies, which the European Commission and Member States are still assessing to the new rules. It is only after being designated that





## The Links

http://www.fda.gov/downloads/medicaldevices/devic eregulationandguidance/guidancedocuments/ucm348 890.pdf

http://data.consilium.europa.eu/doc/document/ST-10728-2016-INIT/en/pdf

https://standards.aami.org/higherlogic/ws/public/dow nload/11414/Public%20Review%20Draft%20CDV\_2%2 010993\_1.pdf



#### Is Your Backpack Too Full?





#### Is biocompatibility really necessary?

"My device has been on the market for years..."

"We only use biocompatible materials..."

"Our materials are made according to ASTM standards..."

"We did some testing during the device R&D..."

"Our device is only used for 5 minutes..."





"I don't have to understand the material's impact on the body."

"I don't have to understand the testing" (black box approach)









#### 510(k) Memorandum - #G95-1 Table 1

#### **Initial Evaluation Tests for Consideration**

				Biological Effect									
			Body Contact (see 4.1)		Contact duration (see 4.2) A-limited (24h) D-prolonged (24h to 30 days) C-permanent (=30days)	Cytericity	Nastadan bebera kewatanan kadada		States (build) (10.06) St. Carrier and A. Salaran and A.		Geneticity	Invitation.	Carrier Child
Device	Contact	Perform	Surface devices	Skin Mueos membr Breach compre surface									
contact	time	tests	External communicating devices	Blood indirec Tissue/ dentin communicating+ Circulating blood		T X X		x 2 3	x z z				x x x
<b>₩</b> Ne	elson Labs.		Implant devices	Tissue/ hane Blood		x x x x x x	<   x   x   x   x   x	x x 0 0 x x	x o o o x x x	< _ 0 0 _ 0 ×	K X X X X		X X X L L L X

#### 510(k) Memorandum - #G95-1 Table 1

#### Initial Evaluation Tests for Consideration





Medical device categorization by				Biological effect											
Nature of Bo	dy Contact	Contact Duration			ctivity		city 🔶	ţ						xicity# <	4
Category	Contact	A – limited (≤24 h) B – prolonged (>24 h to 30 d) C – permanent (> 30 d)	Cytotoxicity	Sensitization	tation or Intracutaneous Rea	Acute Systemic Toxicity	<b>Material-Mediated Pyrogeni</b>	Subacute/Subchronic Toxic	Genotoxicity	Implantation	Hemocompatibility	Chronic Toxicity	Carcinogenicity	roductive/Developmental To	Degradation@
Materia	al Evalua	ation 🔍			μ		505.cl							Rep	
Materia	al Evalua	ation	X	X	E X	s	5524	s – 2	2	¢	s - 2	¢	8 - 3	Rep	8
Materia	Intact skin	A B	X X	X X	XX	6 - 0 6 - 0	5524	5 - 0 6 - 0		î.		č.		Rep	2
Materia	Intact skin	A B C	X X X	X X X	X X X	6 0 6 0	5524	6 0 6 0		C		¢		Rep	0 0 0
Materia	Intact skin	A A B C A	X X X X	X X X X		6 0 6 0 6 0 6 0		6 0 6 0 6 0 6 0		С. С. С.		с с с		Rep	0
Materia Surface device	Intact skin	A A B C A B	X X X X X	X X X X X X		0	0	0		0				Rep	0 0 0 0
Materia Surface device	Intact skin Mucosal membrane	A B C A B C C	X X X X X X X	X X X X X X X	ILI X X X X X X X X X	0	0	0 X	x	0		0		Rep	
Materia Surface device	Intact skin Mucosal membrane Breached or	A B C A B C A	X X X X X X X X X	X X X X X X X X X		000	0000	0 X	x	0		0		Rep	0 0 0 0 0
Materia Surface device	Intact skin Mucosal membrane Breached or compromised	A B C A B C A B C A B	X X X X X X X X X X	X X X X X X X X X X X		00000	00000	0 X 0	x	000		0		Rep	
Materia	Intact skin Mucosal membrane Breached or compromised surface	A B C A B C A B C A C	X X X X X X X X X X X X	X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	ILI X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	000000	000000	0 X 0 X	x	0000		0	0	Rep	
Materia Surface device External	Intact skin Mucosal membrane Breached or compromised surface	A B C A B C A B C A A	X X X X X X X X X X X X X	X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	ILI X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	0 0 0 0 0 0 X	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0 X 0 X	x	0000		0	0	Rep	
Materia Surface device External communicating	Intact skin Mucosal membrane Breached or compromised surface Blood path, indirect	A B C A B C A B C A B C A B	X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	X X   X X   X X   X X   X X   X X   X X   X X   X X   X X   X X   X X   X X   X X   X X   X X	0 0 0 0 0 X X X		0 X 0 X	x	00000		0	0	Rep	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>63</sup> Device categorization information can be obtained informally via email, or as a part of ODE's Pre-Submission process. Refer to FDA's guidance document "Requests for Feedback on Medical Device Submissions: The Pre-Submission Program and Meetings with Food and Drug Administration Staff - Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff" (February 18, 2014).

Nature of Bo	dy Contact	Contact Duration		·	tivity		ţţ	y						icity#	
Category	Contact	A – limited (≤24 h) B – prolonged (>24 h to 30 d) C – permanent (> 30 d)	Cytotoxicity	Sensitization	Irritation or Intracutaneous Reac	Acute Systemic Toxicity	Material-Mediated Pyrogenic	Subacute/Subchronic Toxicit	Genotoxicity	Implantation	<b>Hemocompatibility</b>	Chronic Toxicity	Carcinogenicity	Reproductive/Developmental Tox	Degradation
	m ta v	A	x	x	x	0	0					6 			į –
	lissue /bone/	В	X	X	X	Х	0	X	X	X			5 - X		5
	dentin	С	X	X	X	X	0	X	X	X		0	0		5
	C:	A	X	X	X	X	0	8 8	0	8 8	X		5 8		5
	Circulating	В	X	X	X	Х	0	X	X	X	X				
	01000	C	X	X	X	Х	0	X	X	X	X	0	0		8
	8	A	X	X	X	0	0	12 Q		8 8		· · · · ·	S - 33		8
	Tissue <sup>+</sup> /bone	В	X	X	X	Х	0	X	X	X	8		S - 33		8
Tourstand doubles		C	X	X	X	Х	0	X	X	X		0	0		î.
implant device		A	X	X	X	х	0		0	X	X	-	S - 3		1
	Blood	В	X	X	X	х	0	X	X	X	X	-	S - 3		1
		С	X	X	X	X	0	X	X	X	X	0	0		2

X = ISO 10993-1:2009 recommended endpoints for consideration\*

O = Additional FDA recommended endpoints for consideration\*

Note \* All X's and O's should be addressed in the biological safety evaluation, either through the use of existing data, additional endpoint-specific testing, or a rationale for why the endpoint does not require additional assessment.

Note Tissue includes tissue fluids and subcutaneous spaces

Note For all devices used in extracorporeal circuits

Note "Reproductive and developmental toxicity should be addressed for novel materials, materials with a known reproductive or developmental toxicity, devices with relevant target populations (e.g., pregnant women), and/or devices where there is the probability for local presence of device materials in the reproductive organs.

Note @ Degradation information should be provided for any devices, device components, or materials remaining in contact with tissue that are intended to degrade.

Medical device categorization by			Endpoints of biological e										
Nature	of body contact	Contact duration											
Category	Contact	A - limited (≤24 h) B - prolonged (>24 h to 30 d) C - Long term (>30 d)	Physical and/or chemical informa tion	Cy to toxi city	Sens itiz ation	Irrita tion or intra cuta neous reac tivity	Material media ted pyro geni city <sup>a</sup>	Acute syste mic toxi city <sup>b</sup>	Sub acu te toxi city <sup>b</sup>	Sub chro nic toxi city <sup>b</sup>			
		A	Xg	Eh	Е	Е							
	Intact skin	В	x	Е	Е	E			2:				
		C	х	Е	Е	Е							
Surface medical device		A	x	Е	Е	E							
	Mucosal membrane	В	X	Е	Е	E		E	E				
		C	Х	Е	Е	E		Е	E	E			
	Breached or	A	X	E	Е	E	E	E	2.5 2.5				
	compromised	В	х	Е	Е	E	E	E	E				
	surface	C	х	Е	Е	Е	Е	Е	Е	Е			
	Blood path, indirect	A	х	E	Е	E	E	E					
		В	x	Е	Е	E	E	Е	E				
		C	Х	E	Е	E	E	Е	Е	Е			
Externally	Tissue/	А	X	E	Е	E	E	Е					
communic at ing	bone/	В	х	Е	Е	E	E	Е	E				
medical device	dentin <sup>i</sup>	C	х	Е	Е	Е	Е	Е	Е	Е			
		A	x	E	E	E	E	E	2) 11				
	Circulating blood	В	x	Е	Е	E	E	Е	E				
		C	Х	Е	Е	Е	E	Е	Е	Е			

Table A.1 —	Endpoints to be addressed in a biological risk assessmen

#### ISO 10993 and RISK

ISO 10993 is intended as a guidance to determine the potential biological risks arising from the use of medical devices.



Meaning, what is the risk of my materials and processes to the patient?

ISO 10993-1: Biological evaluation of medical devices – Part 1: Evaluation and testing within a **risk** management process





Use of International Standard ISO 10993-1, "Biological evaluation of medical devices - Part 1: Evaluation and testing within a risk management process"

#### Section III. Risk Management for Biocompatibility Evaluations

"Such a process should generally begin with assessment of the device, including the **material components**, the **manufacturing processes**, the **clinical use of the device**..." Considering this information, the **potential risks from a biocompatibility perspective** should be identified. Considering the potential biological impact, a plan should be developed ... **either by biocompatibility testing or other evaluations that appropriately address the risks**.



#### **Incorporating Risk**



## What is **Risk**?

# ISO 14971 Definition: Combination of the **probability of occurrence** of harm and the **severity of that harm.**





#### **Biological Safety Evaluation**



#### Identify Risks by identifying what we already know



# Material Characterization

"In the selection of materials to be used in device manufacture, the **first consideration shall be fitness for purpose with regard to characteristics and properties of the material**, which include chemical, toxicological, physical, electrical, morphological and mechanical properties." ISO 10993-1





# Material Characterization

"The extent of chemical characterization required should reflect the **nature** and **duration** of the clinical exposure and shall be determined by the toxicological risk assessor based on the data necessary to evaluate the biological safety of the device...This procedure should consider **each of the materials** used in a medical device in addition to the requirement for chemical characterization of the finished device." ISO 10993-18





# Material Characterization



#### Possibly...

- New materials
- Leveraged materials
- Material interactions?
- Combination products
- Supplier testing information
- Chemical characterization testing









Claims ISO 10993 compliance – what does that mean?

Claims USP Class VI



## **USP Class VI**

Testing spelled out in the USP Pharmacopeia <88>

Used for raw material plastic classification "Class VI certification"

Originally designed to test pharmaceutical containers





# Material Characterization

Manufacturers need to have solid relationships with suppliers and ensure full disclosure of materials through:

Manufacturing agreements	Composition disclosures	Processing aide and residual chemical disclosure	Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDS)	Device Master File Information availability to the regulatory authorities					
A Sotera Health company CONFIDENTIAL   © 2019 Nelson Laboratories LLC   ALL RIGHTS RESERVED									



# **Test Sample Selection**

ISO 10993-1, 6.2.1 a) "Testing shall be performed on the sterile final product, or representative samples from the final product or materials processed in the same manner as the final product (including sterilization)."





CONFIDENTIAL | © 2019 Nelson Laboratories, LLC | ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

Finished Device



# Test Sample Preparation



(93.9 g)/(0.2g/mL)=468.5 mL

#### Surface Area (115.8 cm<sup>2</sup>)/(3cm<sup>2</sup>/mL)=38.6 mL



# Test Sample Preparation









#### **Test Sample Preparation**

Extraction Time and Temperature per ISO 10993-12



#### What should be included in a BEP?

- Material information
  - Suppliers
  - Patient contact
  - Specification sheets
  - Testing information on raw materials
- Device description and categorization
  - Include pictures
- Special Test Sample Preparations
  - Master product
  - Absorption capacity
  - Parts to include or exclude
  - Cut/don't cut
- Testing and risk assessments
  - Identify tests to perform based on risk to patient
  - Include conversation of areas where there is no risk (important if FDA asks for consideration in a particular area that does not apply to your specific device.)
  - Toxicological Risk Assessments




# **Questions about Step 1: BEP?**



CONFIDENTIAL | © 2019 Nelson Laboratories, LLC | ALL RIGHTS RESERVED



# Phase 2: Testing and Risk Assessments





CONFIDENTIAL | © 2019 Nelson Laboratories, LLC | ALL RIGHTS RESERVED



# Introduction to Chemical Characterization (E&L)



# Outline

- **1. Some definitions**
- 2. Why performing a chemical characterization
- 3. Set-up of chemical characterization:
  - 3.1 Sample preparation
  - **3.2 Analysis of the extracts**
  - **3.3 Identification of the extracted compounds**
- 4. Case studies



# **1. Some definitions**

- 2. Why performing a chemical characterization
- **3. Set-up of chemical characterization:** 
  - 3.1 Sample preparation
  - **3.2 Analysis of the extracts**
  - **3.3 Identification of the extracted compounds**
- 4. Case studies



#### Extractable:

- Chemical entity: organic or inorganic
- Extracted from device under controlled and extreme (lab) conditions
  - High temperature, long time, multiple sterilization cycles
  - Extreme solvents

#### Leachable:

- Chemical entity: organic or inorganic
- Extracted from device under real use conditions
  - Temperature of use, time of use, sterilization cycles of use
  - Mild solvents



#### Extractable:

- Chemical entity: organic or inorganic
- Extracted from device under controlled and extreme (lab) conditions
  - High temperature, long time, multiple sterilization cycles
  - Extreme solvents
- = chemical characterization



Material characterization: Physical and chemical characteristics

- Physical : for implants and circulating blood
- Chemical: list of materials of construction, chemicals, processing aids(%)

#### Chemical characterization:

- in case not sufficient information, to assess degradation products (polymers), residuals, (primary and secondary) packaging



## What is good material information?

• When talking about materials with the goal of avoiding chemistry testing, one must be totally unambiguous.



## What is good material information?

- Not all materials with the same name are the same
- **Per FDA guidance document**: The best way to specify a material is with as much of the following as possible:
  - The name and CAS number
  - The chemical supplier with structural information and details of manufacturing process
  - The specific amounts of each chemical in a material formulation
  - Processes that the material is exposed to



# **1. Some definitions**

# 2. Why performing a chemical characterization

# Set-up of chemical characterization: 3.1 Sample preparation 3.2 Analysis of the extracts 3.3 Identification of the extracted components

# 4. Case studies



#### Why Consider E&L



**Biocompatibility Testing** 

Genotoxicity ~\$19K Sub-Chronic ~\$26K Chronic ~\$150K Carcinogenicity ~\$1 M

Extensive ~\$25K Regular ~\$19K Chemistry E&L



CONFIDENTIAL | © 2018 Nelson Laboratories





#### **Biocompatibility testing takes time**

Sub-Chronic Chronic Carcinogenicity Months+ ~13 Weeks ~26 Weeks ~18

Chemistry E&L Extensive

~8-14 Weeks







Biocompatibility results are pass/fail

Chemistry E&L results provide detailed results

- What does the device release?
- How much?



### Why Consider E&L

- 1. Predict relevant biological endpoints through analytical chemistry tests and deduction (sparing cost, time, and animal life)
- 2. Gain understanding of device materials and processing towards prevention and correction of problems







# Regulatory bodies are requesting E&L more frequently





# Chemistry is the FUTURE, and the FUTURE IS NOW

\*Good information on materials and processing may be substituted for testing



CONFIDENTIAL | © 2018 Nelson Laboratories

nc

What if chemistry

testing\* were *required* as

a *prerequisite* to animal

testing?





# **1. Some definitions**

# 2. Why performing a chemical characterization

# **3.** Set-up of chemical characterization:

- 3.1 Sample preparation
- **3.2 Analysis of the extracts**
- 3.3 Identification of the extracted compounds

# 4. Case studies







## E&L testing: What are we looking for ?





## E&L testing: What are we looking for ?



# **1. Some definitions**

# 2. Why performing a chemical characterization

# 3. Set-up of chemical characterization:

3.1 Sample preparation

# **3.2 Analysis of the extracts**

**3.3 Identification of the extracted compounds** 

# 4. Case studies



#### E&L testing: How to design it ?







# POLAR VEHICLE

- Ultra Pure Water
- Physiological saline
- Culture media without serum → not compatible with high-end analytical equipment



# NON-POLAR VEHICLE

 Vegetable oil → not compatible with high-end analytical equipment
 HEXANE







## POLAR VEHICLE

- Ultra Pure Water
- Physiological saline
- Culture media without serum → not compatible with high-end analytical equipment



## NON-POLAR VEHICLE

- Vegetable oil  $\rightarrow$  not compatible with high-end analytical equipment
- HEXANE

## SEMI-POLAR VEHICLE

- X % Ethanol in water
  - $\rightarrow$  mimicking blood contact
- Pure Isopropanol, pure Ethanol



more...

#### E&L testing: How to design it ?



**Solvents** 





## **Extraction conditions**



**Extraction volume** 

**Exhaustive extraction? Implants** 



CONFIDENTIAL | © 2018 Nelson Laboratories

#### **Extraction conditions**

# Incubation

- agitation or circulation
- Static → Justify!

50 50 000

Time and temperature • 37°C for 72 h • 50°C for 72 h • 70°C for 24 h • 121°C for 1 h



#### **Extraction conditions**

# Incubation

- agitation or circulation
- Static → Justify!

50 50 0000

Time and temperature • 37°C for 72 h • 50°C for 72 h • 70°C for 24 h • 121°C for 1 h



Remark: perform extraction on <u>all</u> parts that come in (in)direct contact with the patient (ex. Tubing, filters, ....)

However: all parts should have same MD category; e.g. External communicating part + permanent implant



Remark: perform extraction on <u>all</u> parts that come in (in)direct contact with the patient (ex. Tubing, filters, ....)

However: all parts should have same MD category; e.g. External communicating part + permanent implant





#### E&L testing: How to design it ?



**Solvents** 





### **Extraction conditions**



**Extraction volume** 

**Exhaustive extraction? Implants** 



CONFIDENTIAL | © 2018 Nelson Laboratories

#### **Extraction ratio**

• Europe  $\neq$  USA

#### **Extraction ratio**

- Surface/volume
  - 3 cm²/mL
  - 6 cm²/mL
- Weight/volume
  0.2 g/mL

Shape & Thickness



Samples need to be submerged completely

- Shape extraction container
- Available amount of devices
- Shape device



### E&L testing: How to design it ?



**Solvents** 





### **Extraction conditions**



**Extraction volume** 














### **1. Some definitions**

### 2. Why performing a chemical characterization

### 3. Set-up of chemical characterization:

### **3.1 Sample preparation**

### 3.2 Analysis of the extracts

**3.3 Identification of the extracted compounds** 

## 4. Case studies



A Sotera Health company





CONFIDENTIAL © 2018 Nelson Laboratories



















#### Extracted compounds















5/24

















13/24





















CONFIDENTIAL | © 2018 Nelson Laboratories



















24/24



Detect and identify the whole set of potentially hazardous compounds: missing a compound could be a fatal error for patient safety











• Before substances can be identified and measured, they have to be separated from each other.





- Before substances can be identified and measured, they have to be separated from each other.
- Organic compounds can be separated using chromatography.









A Sotera Health company



## How to design a E&L study ?

### Performing the analyses at the right level



A Sotera Health company



A Sotera Health company







# <u>Analytical</u> <u>Evaluation</u> <u>Threshold</u>





The AET is defined as the threshold below which the analyst need not to identify or quantify leachables or extractables or report them for potential toxicological assessment



 <u>Reference guideline for drug products: ICH M7:</u> Assessment and Control of DNA Reactive (Mutagenic) Impurities in Pharmaceuticals to Limit Potential Carcinogenic Risk (2014)

Duration of treatment	≤1	>1 - 12	>1 - 10	>10 years
	month	months	years	to lifetime
Daily intake [µg/day]	120	20	10	1.5



 <u>Reference guideline for drug products: ICH M7</u>: Assessment and Control of DNA Reactive (Mutagenic) Impurities in Pharmaceuticals to Limit Potential Carcinogenic Risk (2014)







#### Metals





## **ICP-OES or ICP-MS:**

- Metals from Glass
- Metals from Rubbers
- > Catalysts, used on the polymerization
- Fillers, added to Polymers
- Acid Scavengers
- Activator systems for Rubbers


#### **Metals**

**Inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy** (ICP-OES), is a spectroscopic technique used for the detection of trace metals. By means of an inductively coupled plasma, atoms and ions are excited and emit electromagnetic radiation at wavelengths specific for each element. The intensity of the emission is a measure for the concentration of an element.



#### **1. Some definitions**

#### 2. Why performing a chemical characterization

### 3. Set-up of chemical characterization:

3.1 Sample preparation

**3.2 Analysis of the extracts** 

### **3.3 Identification of the extracted compounds**

### 4. Case studies















#### Semi-volatile compounds : separation with Gas Chromatography







#### E&L testing: Analysis of the extracts: Identifying the compounds









Chemical compound is fragmented in a unique combination of masses with specific abundance









#### Look for similar combination of masses and abundance in existing library





MAX

Unique identification



#### E&L testing: Analysis of the extracts: Identifying the compounds



Compound = 2-Ethylhexanoic acid



#### E&L testing: Analysis of the extracts: Identifying the compounds

• Look at match factor and similarities beween your spectrum and library spectrum





#### **Toxicological Risk Assessments**



A Sotera Health company

## Octoberfest!!

Oktoberfest 2017 7.5 million liters of beer was consumed by
6.2 million visitors so that's 1.2 liters per person.



**Toxicological Risk Assessments** 



Applying chemistry to the biocompatibility or biological safety of your device

Great - you have your chemistry data. Now what?





#### **Toxicological Risk Assessments**



#### E&L Results: Interpretation of the Toxicological Risk

Recognize the **requirements** of a toxicologist to conduct a suitable **Toxicology Risk Assessment** 

Apply appropriate Thresholds of Toxicolgical Concern (TTC) to E&L data

Understand the risks to the patient

Perform Tolerable Intake (TI), Tolerable Exposure (TE), and Margin of Safety calculations







**Toxicological Risk Assessment** 

## Determine E&L results in mg/device

Research the tox data available for each compound (*NOAEL* or *LOAEL*)

Per ISO 10993-17, calculate  $TI \rightarrow TE \rightarrow MOS$ 

NOAEL/LOAEL: No Adverse Effect Level / Lowest Adverse Effect Level TI/TE: Tolerable Intake MOS: Margin of Safety



**E&L** Results and Example Calculations

Result: Cyclohexanone detected at 3.2 mg/device

CONFIDENTIAL | © 2019 Nelson Laboratories, LLC | ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

Determine an appropriate NOAEL







[IARC. Monographs on the Evaluation of the Carcinogenic Risk of Chemicals to Man. Geneva: World Health Organization, International Agency for Research on Cancer, 1972-PRESENT. (Multivolume work). Available at: <a href="http://monographs.iarc.fr/index.php">http://monographs.iarc.fr/index.php</a> p. V47 162 (1989)] \*\*PEER REVIEWED\*\*

Guinea pigs and rabbits were administered 0.5 or 5 mg/kg body weight **cyclohexanone** intravenously or 0.5 ml percutaneously three times a week for three consecutive weeks; lenticular alterations (anterior subcapsular vacuoles) were observed in all groups of guinea pigs but not in rabbits.

http://toxnet.nlm.nih.gov/cgi-bin/sis/search/f?./temp/~IANanA:1:emerg

Absorption Distribution & Excretion

Non-Human Toxicity Excerpts

Metabolism/Pharmacokinetics

Metabolism/Metabolites

Ecotoxicity Values

Ongoing Test Status TSCA Test Submissions

Ē

≣

- B 🙆

## $TI = \frac{NOAEL \text{ or } LOAEL}{(UF1 \times UF2 \times UF3)}$

UF1: Inter-individual variation among humans (default 10)

UF2: Extrapolation of effects between animals and humans (default 10)

UF3: Quality and relevance of experimental data





### Calculate the TI



UF1: Inter-individual variation among humans (default 10)

UF2: Extrapolation of effects between animals and humans (default 10)

UF3: Quality and relevance of experimental data





## $TE = TI \times mB \times UTF$

## $(UTF = CEF \times PEF)$

 $m_B$ : Body weight (default adult male 70 kg; adult female 58 kg) UTF: Utilization Factor CEF: Concomitant Exposure Factor (default 0.2) *PEF*: Proportional Exposure Factor (default 1)





## Calculate the TE

## $TE = \frac{1 \text{ mg}}{\text{kg} \cdot \text{day}} \times 70 \text{ kg} \times 0.2 = \frac{14 \text{ mg/day}}{14 \text{ mg/day}}$

 $m_B$ : Body weight (default adult male 70 kg; adult female 58 kg) *UTF*: Utilization Factor CEF: Concomitant Exposure Factor (default 0.2)

PEF: Proportional Exposure Factor (default 1)



# $MOS = \frac{TE}{E\&L Device Result}$





## Calculate the MOS

$$MOS = \frac{14 \text{ mg/day}}{3.2 \text{ mg/device}} = \underline{4.3}$$

A MOS greater than a value of 1 is indicative of low toxicological hazard for the evaluated substance



## Is Octoberfest Lethal?

- Oktoberfest 2017 7.5 million liters of beer was consumed by 6.2 million visitors so that's 1.2 liters per person.
- 5.5% alcohol per beer so that's 66 ml or 51816.6 mg per day
- NOAEL for repeat dose toxicity =1730mg/kg\* (male rats).

\*ECHA Dossier Ethanol EC number: 200-578-6 | CAS number: 64-17-5



## $TI = \frac{NOAEL \text{ or } LOAEL}{(UF1 \times UF2 \times UF3)}$

UF1: Inter-individual variation among humans (default 10)

UF2: Extrapolation of effects between animals and humans (default 10)

UF3: Quality and relevance of experimental data





## TI = (1730mg/kg)/10X10X1= 17.3mg/kg/day

- UF1: Inter-individual variation among humans (default 10)
- UF2: Extrapolation of effects between animals and humans (default 10)
- UF3: Quality and relevance of experimental data





## $TE = TI \times mB \times UTF$

## $(UTF = CEF \times PEF)$

 $m_B$ : Body weight (default adult male 70 kg; adult female 58 kg) UTF: Utilization Factor CEF: Concomitant Exposure Factor (default 0.2) *PEF*: Proportional Exposure Factor (default 1)





## TE= 17.3mg/kg/day X 70 kg X 0.2 = 242.2 mg/day

*m<sub>B</sub>*: Body weight (default adult male 70 kg; adult female 58 kg)
 *UTF*: Utilization Factor
 CEF: Concomitant Exposure Factor (default 0.2)
 *PEF*: Proportional Exposure Factor (default 1)



# $MOS = \frac{TE}{E\&L Device Result}$





### MOS=(242.2 mg/day)/(51816.6 mg/day) =0.005

A MOS greater than a value of 1 is indicative of low toxicological hazard for the evaluated substance



## Side Note

 From witnesses at Oktoberfest "A typical German at Oktoberfest will easily have 3 steins per session each at a liter- that makes 130.2 grams per day. Maybe the average of 1.2 L takes into account the light-weight Americans that go there.




Conclusion

This risk assessment was supported by information gathered from extractable and leachable chemical characterization testing data on the system, published literature, and the derived margins of safety of the compounds extracted from the system.

This risk assessment indicates that the likelihood of adverse effects from the device is considered low for all compounds.





#### Conclusion on Toxicological Assessments

- Biocompatibility evaluations must be strategic & science based
- *Material Characterization*: Thorough understanding of the device materials and processing can help to minimize biocompatibility testing
- Chemical Characterization (E&L): Provides the key information needed to conduct a proper risk toxicological assessment
- Goals: Save animal life, save time, save money, and IMPROVE PATIENT CARE!





## Medical Device Regulations

**Potential Synergies when Applying for FDA** 

Thor Rollins BS RM(NRCM) Director of Toxicology Nelson Laboratories trollins@nelsonlabs.com



22 MAY 2019

### **The Past**

Early 2000s to recently companies preferred Europe

- US companies would target CE mark before FDA clearance
  - 65% of devices were CE marked before FDA Clearance
  - Up to 80% initially approached Notified Bodies for Clearance(Newswire 2011)

Limited biocompatibility testing was needed for CE mark compared to "check box" approach for FDA



## **Then Everything Changed....**





### Now the Clock is Ticking

Are we going to be ready?

#### S MedTech Europe



Our industry is prepared to submit product files to comply with the new Medical Device Regulation (MDR). However, we cannot do so. The new regulatory system is not ready to function. The deadline for the system to be fully operational is not 26 May 2020, the date of MDR application as the Commission continues to suggest. The deadline for the system to be ready for our industry to comply is now.

Re: Open letter on the implementation and readiness status of the new Medical Device Regulation 745/2017 (MDR)

Dear Vice-President Katainen,

I am writing to you regarding an issue of absolute urgency for patient care across Europe and for the internal market at large. The medical device industry in Europe confirms that without immediate action by the European Commission, the new regulatory system will not be ready on time to ensure continued access of patients and healthcare systems to life-saving and life-transforming devices.

Our industry is prepared to submit product files to comply with the new Medical Device Regulation (MDR). However, we cannot do so. The new regulatory system is not ready to function. The deadline for the system to be fully operational is not 26 May 2020, the date of MDR application as the Commission continues to suggest. The deadline for the system to be ready for our industry to comply is now.

One of the critical concerns is the designation and capacity of Notified Bodies, which the European Commission and Member States are still assessing to the new rules. It is only after being designated that





## What About the FDA?

No-predicate submissions for lower risk devices

The De Novo Program

### The ASCA Program

• Accreditation Scheme for Conformity Assessment

### **MDSAP**

 Emerging Global Consensus on device Quality Systems and Audit

\*https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/standards-and-conformity-assessment-program/accreditation-scheme-conformity-assessment-asca



The Outcom	510(k) Cleara by Country of	nces f Origin	<b>Ø</b> E		
	Country	2014	2015	2016	
	Canada	1.0%	1.3%	1.6%	ers are
	China	5.9%	7.0%	6.6%	the FDA
	France	1.0%	2.5%	2.1%	; years.
	Germany	2.3%	3.7%	4.5%	:££:
	Israel	1.4%	1.5%	2.8%	iniculties
	Italy	0.8%	1.5%	1.6%	ased.
	Japan	0.8%	2.3%	2.9%	
	South Korea	1.1%	2.9%	4.4%	nissions
	Switzerland	0.5%	1.6%	1.9%	ars, while
	Taiwan	1.3%	2.4%	1.8%	؛d.
	UK	1.4%	1.8%	1.9%	
	USA	78.1%	63.3%	59.7%	
😽 Nelson Labs.					

A Sotera Health company

#### The Timing is Horrendous



New ISO 10993-1 (from X to E)

New ISO 18562 (March 2017)

New 10993-18 Final Draft



CONFIDENTIAL | © 2018 Nelson Laboratories

Table A.1 —	Endpoints to	) be addressed	in a biological	risk assessment

Medical device categorization by						Endy	points o	f biolo	gical	evalua	aluation									
Nature of body contact Contact duration																				
Category	Contact	A - limited (≤24 h) B - prolonged (>24 h to 30 d) C - Long term (>30 d)	Physical and/or chemical informa- tion	Cyto toxi city		Irrita tion or intra cuta neous reac tivity	Ma- terial media ted pyro geni city <sup>a</sup>	Acute syste mic toxi city <sup>b</sup>	Sub acu te toxi city <sup>b</sup>	Sub chro nic toxi city <sup>b</sup>	Chr onic toxi city <sup>b</sup>	Impla nta tion ef- fects- b,c	Hem oco mpa tibil ity	Gen otox ici- ty <sup>d</sup>	Car cin oge nic ity <sup>d</sup>	Repro duc- tive/ develop mental toxici- ty <sup>d,e</sup>	Deg rada tion <sup>f</sup>			
		A	Xg	Eh	E	E														
	Intact skin	В	Х	E	E	E														
	С	Х	E	E	E															
Surface medical		A	X	E	E	E														
device	device Mucosal membrane	В	X	E	E	E		E	E			E								
		С	X	E	E	E		E	E	E	E	E		E						
	Breached or	A	X	E	E	E	E	E												
	compromised	В	Х	E	E	E	E	E	E			E								
	surface	С	X	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E		E	E					
	Blood path, indirect	A	Х	E	E	E	E	E					E							
		В	Х	E	E	E	E	E	E				E							
		С	X	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E					
Externally	Tissue/	A	Х	E	E	E	E	E												
communicating	bone/	В	X	E	E	E	E	E	E			E		E						
medical device	dentin <sup>i</sup>	С	X	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E		E	E					
		A	X	E	E	E	E	E					E	EĴ						
Circulating blood	В	X	E	E	E	E	E	E			E	E	E							
	C	Х	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E						

**Issued August** 2018

**Replaced 2009** version



## **Summary Actions**





### Start Family Groupings



#### Set of Devices:

- 4 different plate sizes
- 5 different screw sizes
- Each screw comes in two colors
- Each plate available in cpTi or 316SS
- Each plate and screw equivalently available from 2 suppliers
- 36 line items to be considered
- 80 different possible patient contacting configurations

## More Information





# **QUESTIONS?**



Thor Rollins BS RM(NRCM) Director of Toxicology and E&L Consulting <u>trollins@nelsonlabs.com</u> 801-290-7832

